

**Judge Name:**.....  
**Date:**.....**Session:**..... **Courtroom:**.....  
**Team #:**..... **Role:** Defense Counsel / Prosecution / Government Counsel  
**Oralist Name:**.....

CRITERIA	SCORE
<p><b>Organization, structure and time management</b> (max 20 points)  <i>The speaker follows a logical roadmap, the flow of arguments is easy to follow, the arguments and ideas are presented concisely and to the point.</i></p>	/20
<p><b>Knowledge and use of rules and principles of law</b> (max 20 points)  <i>The speaker states and applies rules of law, the arguments are supported by sufficient authorities, the sources cited are of relevant to the argument.</i></p>	/20
<p><b>Knowledge and use of the facts</b> (max 20 points)  <i>The speaker knows and accurately interprets the facts, the facts of the case are effectively compared and distinguished from the facts of real world precedents.</i></p>	/20
<p><b>Questions and rebuttal</b> (max 20 points)  <i>The speaker is able to answer the questions about facts, law and policy posed by the judges. The speaker's rebuttal addresses appropriately the arguments of the opposing teams.</i></p>	/20
<p><b>Persuasiveness and style of presentation</b> (max 20 points)  <i>The speaker conveys formality, respect and professionalism, while being confident and eloquent. The speaker maintains eye contact with the full bench.</i></p>	/20
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>/100</b>

**Scoring guideline**

- 10 – 12 points per criteria/50 – 60 total score = weak presentation
- 13 – 17 points per criteria/61 – 85 total score = average presentation
- 18 – 20 points per criteria/86 – 100 total score = strong and very strong presentation

*Based on previous years' experience, an average score of about 83 or above, in the oral pleadings, will be necessary for a team to advance to the Quarter-Final Round.*

**Judge's signature:**

**COMMENTS**